



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Pat nt and Trad mark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

08/670,119

APPLICATION NO.

06/25/96

FILING DATE

NG

G

SIM-001(7434

HM12/0801

PATENT ADMINISTRATOR TESTA HURWITZ & THIBEAULT HIGH STREET TOWER 125 HIGH STREET BOSTON MA 02110

EXAMINER

HAYES, R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1647

DATE MAILED:

08/01/0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 08/670,119

Robert C. Hayes

Applicant(s)

Ng et al

Notification of Non-Compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Examiner

Group Art Unit 1647

May 15, 2000 _ is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR The Appeal Brief filed on 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

Applicant is given a TIME LIMIT of ONE MONTH from the date of this letter or any time remaining in the period under 37 CFR 1.192(a) for filing a new complete brief. If a new brief that fully complies with 37 CFR 1.192(c) is not timely submitted, the appeal will be dismissed. The new complete brief must be filed IN TRIPLICATE. See 37 CFR 1.192(a).

1. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading

or in the proper order. 2. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)). 3. At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)). 4. Xi The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)). 5. The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)). 6. X A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and a. The brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief. b. X the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief. 7. The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).

8. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).

a) The status of each individual amendment to the claims, etc., has not been summarized.

9. X Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

b) MPEP 1.192 (c)(7) states that "appellant [must] explain why the claims of the group are believed to be separately patentable", and that "[m]erely pointing out differences in what the claims cover is not an argument as to why the claims are separately patentable".

Notification of Non-Compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c)

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600